THE FLAMMABILITY
OF
COMPOSITE FABRICS

THE FLAMMABILITY OF COMPOSITE FABRICS

C. Z. CARROLL-PORCZYNSKI

New York CHEMICAL PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.

The Flammability Of Composite Fabrics

© 2011 by Chemical Publishing Co., Inc. All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means; electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ISBN: 978-0-8206-0246-2

Chemical Publishing Company: www.chemical-publishing.com www.chemicalpublishing.net

First American Edition:

© Chemical Publishing Company, Inc. - New York 1976

Second Impression:

Chemical Publishing Company, Inc. - 2011

Printed in the United States of America

PREFACE

There is a growing concern about the flammability of textiles, and a number of new standards are being drafted or revised in order to minimize the fire risks connected with the use of these materiails. The recent statistics published by the U.S. Department of Health indicate that the annual toll from burns associated with flammable fabrics amounts to 5,000 death and up to 250,000 nonfatal injuries. In terms of financial loss the yearly total exceeds a quarter of a billion dollars. Similar levels of fire losses were reported in the U.K. and other countries.

The new legislation extends flammability standards to cover fibre-reinforced plastics, foams, interior furnishings, apparel fabrics including hats, gloves, footwear, and interlining fabrics as well as a wide range of industrial textiles.

It is generally appreciated that the flammability is a complex phenomenon. Some of the flammability aspects like the rate of flame spread have been discussed at length, and in fact, numerous standards are based on these results. More recent studies of flammability of textiles confirm the view that smoke emission, presence of toxic gases, ease of ignition, heat evolution and oxygen depletion, are as important as the rate of flame spread in assessing the fire risks of textile materials. Much more, however, remains to be done. It is then clearly necessary to have an acceptable and meaningful method of assessing the flame resistance of materials.

Part one of the present investigation is therefore concerned with the development of a new equipment and test method which incorporates many of the recent concepts of fire hazard.

Part two is an account of a study of the flammability characteristics of blends of fibres carried out with the object of making a blend which will meet with both new and old specification and which is at the same time economically priced.

This work was facilitated by the development of a new simultaneous Thermal Analyses techniques which enabled the information to be obtained on very small amounts of material.

These studies have provided much new information on several inherently flame-resisting and flame-retarding fibres which because of their very high costs have not been systematically investigated in blends and which so far have found only a limited outlet in specific applications.

This volume is concluded by a proposed new classification of textile materials and blends based on new methods of flammability rating. Two novel methods of producing flame-resisting fibres used in current investigation are described in appropriate chapters.

The account of experimental studies carried out in part one and part two in this work is preceded by critical reviews of:

- a. the flammability of textile materials as well as methods of reducing fire risks;
- b. test methods for assessing the flammability of fibres, yarns, fabrics and films.

The greater part of the experimental work described in this book was carried out by the Author in 1969-1971 at the Department of Textile Industries, University of Leeds, U.K. An addendum of recent literature is provided at the end of the volume to up-date the work. Some of the fibres mentioned have undergone modification since being tested.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Professor P. Grosberg for his continual guidance and help during the course of this work, and to Professor C. S. Whewell for his general advice.

My sincere thanks are extended to Dr. J. Sikorski for his help in the scanning electron microscope studies of thermal degradation of fibres and fabrics.

I also wish to thank The International Wool Secretariat, Ilkley, Yorkshire, for the flameproofing of numerous composite fibres.

I am indebted to Mr. J. S. Parr of the Carding Department for the preparation of numerous samples of experimental fabrics and to Mr. D. Storer for the assistance in the spinning of experimental fibres and to other members of the Department staff who have helped in various ways.

I acknowledge with thanks the receipt of numerous reports from Joint Fire Research Organization, Boreham Wood, U.K. Figures 6-9, 41-43, 52-55 and particularly Dr. W. D. Woolley's Fire Research Notes 851, 852, 881 Appendix Fig. 4-7; and W. D. Freeston, Appendix Figures 8-9.

I gratefully acknowledge other contributions including quotations from works by J. A. Rhys, R. F. Cleaver Fig. 1, I. Einhorn Fig. 2; W. K. Smith, J. B. King Fig. 4; C. P. Fenimore, F. J. Martin, Fig. 5; A. M. Stole Figures 10-14; E. L. Finley, W. H. Carter, Fig. 31; H. W. Emmons, Figures 37, 38; and numerous other organizations and individuals, especially Dr. J. Redfern of Stanton Redcroft Co. for supplying technical data sheets, assistance in testing, time for consultation and general advice.

ABSTRACT

There are about 1,000 deaths each year due to burns in the United Kingdom. Figures of deaths do not however indicate the true size of the problem. It has been reliably estimated that at any one time there are some 550 people in hospitals in this country under treatment for burns, two-third of them for clothing burns, and that there are in the community today about 136,000 people who at sometime or other have been severely burned by their clothing catching fire and who need hospital treatment, many of them suffering from permanent after effects. It has recently been reported in the U.S.A. that 5,000 Americans die and 150,000 to 250,000 are injured each year from burns caused by flammable fabrics, and that the cost of medical care for the hospitalised burn victims has been estimated to total about 200 million per year.

The present methods of chemical flameproofing of textiles are critically reviewed.

It has been shown that in general the "added on" finishes frequently lose their flame-resisting properties after several dry cleanings or washings in detergents and their effectiveness seldom lasts during the whole life of the fabric. Further, these flame-proofing treatments are affecting other desirable fabric properties like handle softness or appearance.

There are several inherently flame-retarding fibres which can be described as non-flammable. However, their use is very limited due to their very high cost, and mainly due to this reason they have not been systematically evaluated in textlie blends. It has been shown that the flammability behaviour of fabrics made from two component blends of a non-flammable and a combustible fibre or of two non-flammable fibres cannot be accurately predicted and must be determined experimentally. To compare the flammability performance of experimental blends on the same basis a "model fabric" was designed and over 100 blends were evaluated, using specially developed equipment and methods of testing. A critical review of existing standard testing methods have shown that they do not adequately judge fire hazard of textile materials. The shortcomings of currently applied tests can be summarized as follows:

- Some of these tests have been set at an arbitrary time or distance of burning which was chosen without any regard for any real situation.
- 2. The flammability rating of a material is often more dependent

- on the particular test or test configuration chosen rather than on the inherent property of the material.
- 3. Some of the standard tests are of the "pass—no pass" type which determine only whether the specimen of material will burn in air while placed in an arbitrary test configuration, so the results are of little value in studying the material itself.
- 4. Most of these tests make no distinction between very flammable and non-flammable materials.
- In general the tests have little relationship to each other, and their relationship to any actual end-use conditions has frequently been criticised.

The above considerations have prompted a decision to develop a new equipment and a more meaningful flammability testing method.

The main aim in designing the new equipment was to eliminate as far as possible the above-listed shortcomings, and to provide an apparatus capable of determining in one test, simultaneously and quantitatively, the most important inherent flammability characteristics of materials subjected to combustion. These characteristics should be determined preferably at their critical oxygen level or at any other, but closely controlled, oxygen concentrations.

The tested characteristics are:

- 1. ease of the ignition or critical oxygen level;
- 2. temperature profile in ignited material from which can be deduced:
 - (i) rate of flame spread
 - (ii) maximum temperature
 - (iii) total heat output
 - (iv) afterglow, reignition;
- 3. emission of smoke from the material under both flaming and smouldering conditions;
- 4. emission of toxic gases carbon-monoxide in particular under both flaming and smouldering conditions;
- 5. rate of oxygen depletion due to the burning of the material in enclosed chamber and in a standard atmosphere.

The main factors considered in designing this equipment were as follows:

- (a) the determination of the main aspects of flammability should be reproducible,
- (b) carried out using the same specimen simultaneously, and

(c) the equipment should be easy to operate.

The new equipment should also be applicable to a wide range of materials.

The decision to use the critical oxygen level as a base for all aspects of flammability determinations was taken due to the following considerations.

- In many initial stages of fires, materials only just burn in the depleted oxygen, thus, in the proposed method, the flammability performance of materials is determined in conditions which may be encountered in real fire situations.
- All materials are being tested under threshold conditions of "just burning".
- Because the proposed test is based not on unrealistic arbitrary parameter but on a closely defined and inherent critical oxygen level of materials it has been shown to be highly reproducible within one laboratory and on an inter-laboratory
- The critical oxygen level as a basic physical parameter permits testing of a wide range of materials. For testing of materials in the current work, unheated atmosphere was used, but for special tests elevated temperatures and higher oxygen concentrations can be employed.
- Test results obtained using the FTA module tester indicate that each material has its own clearly defined critical oxygen level and that even 0.2% difference in oxygen concentration decides whether material will ignite or the flame will be extinguished. Further, even a small change in oxygen concentration directly affects all other flammability aspects. For these reasons, the flammability testing should be carried out at a closely-controlled oxygen concentration, preferably at an inherent critical oxygen level of the tested material.

The test results of the main parameters contributing to the flammability of materials, viz, ease of ignition, rate of flame spread, heat output, smoke and toxic gases emission, are expressed in various units of widely differing average dimensions. Further, they are also expressed in a form where a larger figure does not always indicate an improvement in flame resistance. This makes comparison among the large amount of data rather difficult and it is proposed to convert these values into dimensionless ratings in such a way that:

(a) the larger the unit the more flame resistant is the material; and

(b) that 100 unit represents as far as possible the highest value of the rating which would be obtained in practice, while zero would represent the worst possible value.

The conversion formulae chosen are obviously arbitrary, but it is possible to find the basic data from the rating itself if required.

The individual ratings used in this work are defined as follows:

The ease of ignition was defined as

$$Ra = \underbrace{x}_{25} \times 100$$

where x is the critical oxygen level found in the test procedure.

Flame spread rating has been defined as

$$Rb = y \times 100$$

 $Rb = \underline{y} \times 100$ where y is the inverse of the rate of flame spread in seconds per

Heat output rating was defined as

$$Rc = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\underline{z} \\ 1000 \end{pmatrix} \times 100$$

The smoke emission rating was defined as

$$Rd = \frac{(100 - S)}{100} \times 100$$

where S is the per cent of visual obscuration recorded.

Toxic gas rating was defined as

$$Re \underbrace{ (1000 - t)}_{1000} \times 100$$

where t is the emission of CO in ppm.

Based on the above individual parameters the Material Flammability Rating is expressed as follows:

$$MFR = (Ra + Rb + Rc + Rd + Re)$$

The Critical Oxygen Values (COL) were taken as indicative of ignitability behaviour in the Standard Vertical test for a broad spectrum of fibre compositions. Generally, fabrics with a COL 26.0 pass the Vertical test and fabrics with a COL 24.0 fail. In the COL range of 24.0 to 26.0 the flammability behaviour of fabrics in the Vertical test cannot be confidently predicted. This problem was solved using broader MFR basis incorporating not only COL (ease of ignition) but also of other above described parameters of flammability.

From the experience gained and based on the proposed test procedure a simple classification of the flammability of materials is suggested below.

Class 1	Fire-resisting Materials	MFR 400 and over
Class 2	Flame-resisting Materials	MFR 350 and over
Class 3	Flame-retarding Materials	MFR 315 and over
Class 4	Low-Flammability Materials	MFR 290 and over
Class 5	Flammable Materials	MFR under 290

The test results based on 56 standard blends indicate a good reproducibility. The Confidence Limits of individual flammability parameters were found to lie within a $\pm 5\%$ range and for the overall flammability rating of materials (MFR) to lie within $\pm 7\%$ range.

Plate		PAGE No.
1	BS Test 2782, Method 508C	. 67
2	Flammability Testing Assembly	. 114
3	Module F.T.A. flammability tester	. 117
4	Laser Smoke Detection System	. 137
5		143
6	Essential parts of IR gas analyser	. 145
7	Sample holders 6" x 6" with blank control plat	
_	and 3" x 3" insert	. 153
8	45° Angle and Melt Dripping Test	. 157
9.	Top: Nut test for carpet surface	
	Bottom: Alcohol cup test for carpet backing.	. 159
10	Preparation of "model fabric"	
	A. Carding stage. B. Needle punching felt.	. 176
11.	Heat degradation of Kynol fibres, scanning electron microscopic studies	. 206
12	Kynol, 6 stages of fibre extension to breaking point	. 208
13	Kynol fibre X-ray and microscopic appearance, magnification 500	. 210
14	Samples subjected to oxyacetylene "Burn through" test	. 221
15	Kynol-wool fabric exposed to Bunsen Burner flame	. 222
16	Kynol-wool fabric after oxyacetylene torch flame	. 223
17	Scanning electron microscope studies of thermal degradation of Kynol fabrics subjected to	l
	vertical burning test	. 232
18	Nomex fibre magnified	. 238
19	Durette X-ray pattern and Durette yarn .	. 272
20	Durette fibre magnified	. 274
21	Polytetrafluoroethylene fibre and X-ray pattern	292
22	PVC - Movil T fibre and X-ray pattern .	. 300
23	Dynel fibre and X-ray pattern	. 317
24	Darelle fibre magnified and X-ray pattern .	. 340
25	Experimental FR Viscose rayon fibres .	. 356
XIV		

CONTENTS

		CONI	EINID			
						PAGE No
PREFACE	••	••	••	••	••	V
ACKNOWLEDGEM	ENTS		••	••	••	VII
ABSTRACT		••	••		••	IX
LIST OF PLATES			••			XIV
CHAPTER 1:	Textile	es and F	ire Hazard	l	••	1
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.10 1.11 1.12	Clothin Floor Mattre Electri Laund Motor Railwa Aircrat Mercha Buildin	rial text ng covering sses, upl cal blant ry — dry vehicles ry rolling ft indust	s holstery, f kets y cleaning g stock ry passenger utions		ings	
CHAPTER 2:		ability, i on Peop	Products of	of Com	bustion 	19
2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	Flame Flame combus (a) C (b) G (c) C (d) T (e) F (f) S (g) F Flame S Smoke Combus	t heating spread retardant stion coating the coating t	theory theory cal theory cous reacti ardant ch nd fire spi ent in buil d people	ions emicals read dings		
2.9	Critical concent	level of trations	toxic gas — CO			χv

			PAGE No.
	2.10 2.11	Other toxic gases Thermal injuries by melting droplets	
	2.12	Thermal injuries by heat transfer	
		through fabric	
		References	
CHAPTER		Flammability Tests	56
	3.1	Current state of art	
	3.2	A critical review of vertical strip tests	
	3.3	Angle and horizontal tests	
	3.4	Semi-circular test	
	3.5	Ignition tests	
	3.6	Other burning tests	
	3.7	Surface spread of flame	
	3.8	Wheel-feed flame propagation test	
	3.9	A critical review of carpet flammability	
		testing method	
		(a) Methanamine tablet test	
		(b) Steiner tunnel test	
		(c) The carpet chamber test	
	2.10	(d) Cinder carpet flammability test	
	3.10	Fireproof torso-form ignition test	
	3.11	Smoke emission, testing methods and	
		equipment	
		(a) N.B.S. smoke test	
•		(b) ASTM D2843 smoke test	
	2.12	(c) Draft B.S. 476, Part 9 smoke test	
	3.12	Tests for flammability of textiles under	
		hyperbaric conditions and oxygen	
		enriched atmospheres	
	_	References	
CHAPTER	4:	Proposed Flammability Testing Methods and Equipment	108
	4.1	General limitations of standard tests	
	4.2	Variations, reproducibility	
	4.3	Interlaboratory reproducibility	
	4.4	Comparison of 6 standard test results	
	4.5	Design of the equipment, objectives	
	4.6	Modular system	
	4.7	Choice of critical oxygen level as a base of flammability determinations	
	4.8	Flammability tester, Module FTA	
	4.9	Flow rates	
IVX	•		

4.10	Test procedure
4.11	Experimental details of testing textile
	fabrics
4.12	Requirements for testing plastics
4.13	Experimental details for testing films
4.14	Checking flammability level with
	standard material
4.15	Calibration of oxygen analyser
4.16	Effect of dimensions of combustion
	chamber on the COL values
4.17	Ease of ignition
4.18	Analysis of smoke emission
	(a) Ionization detectors
	(b) Light scatter photometric system
	(c) Obscuration photometric system
4.19	Scope of proposed FTB Module
4.20	General description
4.21	Measurement of smoke emission at
	ambient light
4.22	Test duration, expression of results
	(a) Derivation of equivalent obscuration
	for different beam length
	(b) Correction of obscuration to
	standard temperature
	(c) Correction of obscuration to
	standard pressure
	(d) Obscuration and mean particle
	diameter
4.23	Analysis of toxic products of
	combustion
4.24	Infra-red gas analyser
4.25	Module FTC, radiant heat exposure
4.26	Specimen holder
4.27	Subsidiary tests and modifications of
	the basic test equipment
	(a) Afterglow
	(b) Afterglow, reignition
	(c) Melt dripping test
	(d) Revolving sample holder
	(e) Application of equipment for the
	studies of flammability of floor

covering
(f) Modified cinder test
References

]	PAGE No.
CHAPTER 5	: Tests Under Modified Conditions	162
5.1	Introduction	
5.2	Smoke and CO emission at varying oxygen levels	
5.3	Rate of flame spread at varying oxygen levels	
5.4	Effectiveness of flame retardants at varying oxygen levels	
5.5	The effect of fabric weight on the flame propagation	
5.6	Correlation of oxygen demand with temperature of the samples	
5.7	Oxygen demand at vertical and horizontal flame propagation	
5.8	Effect of moisture on critical oxygen level of textiles References	
CHAPTER 6	: Blending	173
6.1 6.2	Introduction Non-flammable blends (a) Flame-resistant fibres (b) Flame-retardant fibres (c) Spinning dope flame-retarding fibres	
6.3 6.4	Production of model fabric Preparation of needled fabrics	
CHAPTER 7	Preliminary Studies of Two Component Blends	179
7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Introduction Apparatus Thermogravimetric analyses of blends containing wool Thermogravimetric analyses of blends	
7.5	containing polypropylene Preliminary DTA/MS analyses of products of combustion	
7.6	Apparatus, results	
7.7	Conclusions	

References

CHAPTER 8:	Kynol Phenolic Fibre Performance in Experimental Blends	202
8.1	Kynol manufacture, properties	
8.2	Kynol, phenolic fibre fabric	
8.3	Flammability of Kynol fabric treated with THPC	
8.4	Kynol-wool experimental blends	
8.5	Wool fabric	
8.6	Kynol-wool composite fabric	
8.7	Kynol-wool THPC treated fabric	
8.8	Kynol-wool experimental blends	
8.9	Kynol-wool woven fabric	
8.10	Heat resistance of THPC treated	
•	Kynol-wool composite fabric	
8.11	Flammability performance of Courtelle fabric	
8.12	Kynol-Courtelle composite fabric	
8.13	Kynol-wool-Courtelle composite fabric	
8.14	Fibro, viscose rayon fabric	
8.15	Kynol-Fibro composite fabric	
8.16	Kynol-Fibro THPC treated fabric	
8.17	Cotton fabric	
8.18	Kynol-cotton composite fabric	
8.19	Kynol-cotton THPC treated fabric	
8.20	Kynol-Darelle composite fabric	
8.21	Kynol-Terylene composite fabric	
8.22	Kynol-nylon composite fabric	
8.23	Kynol-polypropylene composite fabric References	
CHAPTER 9:	Nomex Fibre Aromatic Polymide Performance in Experimental Blends	239
9.1	General properties of Nomex T-450 fibre	
9.2	Nomex fibre fabric	
9.3	Nomex-wool composite fabric	
9.4	Nomex-Courtelle composite fabric	
9.5	Nomex-Courtelle-wool composite fabric	
9.6	Nomex-cotton composite fabric	
9.7	Nomex-Darelle composite fabric	

		PAGE No.
9.8	Nomex-Terylene composite fabric	I A GE A G
9,9	Nomex-Kynol composite fabric	
9.10	Nomex-wool composite fabric	
CHAPTER 10:	Kermel Polyamide Fibre Performance in Experimental Blends	253
10.1	Production, properties	
10.2	Kermel fibre fabric	
10.3	Kermel 75%, wool 25% composite fabric	
10.4	Kermel 50%, wool 50% composite fabric	
10.5	Kermel 25%, wool 75% composite fabric	
10.6	Kermel-cotton composite fabric	
10.7	Kermel-Fibro composite fabric	
10.8	Kermel-Courtelle composite fabric	
10.9	Kermel-nylon composite fabric	
10.10	Kermel-Dynel composite fabric	
10.11	Kermel-Terylene composite fabric	
10.12	Kermel-polypropylene composite fabric	
CHAPTER 11:	Durette Fibre Performance in Experimental Blends	273
11.1	Properties	
11.2	Durette fabric	
11.3	Durette-wool composite fabric	
11.4	Durette-cotton composite fabric	
11.5	Durette-nylon composite fabric	
11.6	Durette-Terylene composite fabric	
CHAPTER 12:	PBI Polybenzimidazole Fibre Performance in Experimental Blends	285
12.1	Manufacture, properties	
12.2	Flame-retardant treatment of PBI	
	fabric	
12.3	PBI 40%, Courtelle 60% composite	
	fabric	
12.4	PBI-wool composite fabric References	

CHAPTER	13:	Teflon Polytetrafluoroethylene Fibre	PAGE No.
		Performance in Experimental Blends	291
13 13	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Manufacture, properties Medical aspects of polytetrafluoroethylene Teflon-wool composite fabric Teflon-Courtelle composite fabric References	
CHAPTER		Movil-Polyvinyl Chloride Fibre Performance in Experimental Blends	299
14	.1	Manufacture, properties	
14		Movil fabric	
14		Movil-wool composite fabric	
14		Movil-Courtelle composite fabric	
14		Movil-wool-Courtelle fabric	
14		Movil-cotton composite fabric	
14 14		Movil-nylon composite fabric	
14		Movil-Terylene composite fabric Movil-polypropylene	
17		References	
CHAPTER		Dynel Modacrylic Fibre	315
15	.1	Manufacture, properties	
15		Dynel fabric	
15		Dynel-wool composite fabric	
15		Dynel-Courtelle composite fabric	
15		Dynel-wool-Courtelle composite fabric	
15		Dynel-cotton composite fabric	
15		Dynel-nylon composite fabric	
15 15		Dynel-Terylene composite fabric	
		Dynel-Darelle composite fabric Dynel-Kynol composite fabric	
		Dynel-polypropylene composite fabric	
CHAPTER	16:	Fibres from Spinning Solutions Containing Flame-Retardants	334
16		Introduction, limitations of flameproofing of fabric	
16		Flame-retardant rayon fibres	
16	.3	Darelle fabric	

		PAGE No
16.4	Darelle-wool composite fabric	
16.5	Darelle-Courtelle composite fabric	
16.6	Darelle-Courtelle-wool composite fabric	
16.7	Darelle-Fibro composite fabric	
16.8	Darelle-cotton composite fabric	
16.9	Darelle-viscose-nylon composite fabric	
16.10	Darelle-Terylene composite fabric	
16.11	Darelle-polypropylene composite fabric	
CHAPTER 17:	Experimental FR viscose rayon fibres	355
17.1	Choice of flame retardant	
17.2	The spinning process data	
17.3	FR rayon-wool composite fabric	
17.4	FR rayon-cotton composite fabric	
CHAPTER 18:	Discussion of Results	360
18.1	Flammability ratings	
18.2	Accuracy of flammability determinations	
	(a) Standard blends	
	(b) Interlaboratory results	
10.2	(c) Correlations with other tests	
18.3	Flammability behaviour of experimental	
	blends (a) Flammability behaviour of flame-	
	resisting fibres	
	(b) Flammability behaviour of flame-	
18.4	retardant fibres Flammability behaviour of combustible	
10.4	fibres	
CHAPTER 19:	Conclusion	387
19.1	Test apparatus	
19.2	Fibre blends	
19.3	Flammability parameters	
APPENDIX		392
RECENT LITERA	TURE OF INTEREST	435
THOUSE DITERM	TIURE OF INTEREST	433

467

INDEX

XXII

TEXTILES AND FIRE HAZARD, FIRE FREQUENCIES AND FATAL INJURIES

1.1. Introduction

The total U.K. fire damage is increasing every year and in 1971 it exceeded £120 million as paid in insurance claims. The sums quoted do not take full account of losses due to disruption of production and human suffering. The figures related to fire losses in the U.S.A. are simply staggering. In 1969 there were about 2½ million cases of fires of all types causing property damage loss of 2,447,600,000 dollars.

Textiles contribute considerably to these fire losses. Appendix 1 of this work provides statistical data of frequencies and rankings of some industries in Great Britain and in the U.S.A. in accordance with their relative fire hazards. 1,2,3,4 It may be observed from the data of frequencies that the textile industry and textile finishing works in particular show one of the highest fire hazards in both the United Kingdom and the U.S.A. With regard to fire costs the textile industry in the U.K. was at the top of the list in 1969 with 76 large fires costing £8.5 million. The years 1970 and 1971 were even worse in this respect. The actual known hazards of various classes of textiles will be considered in detail.

1.2 Industrial Textiles

These materials have often been a prime source of some very severe fires, thus those connected with the coalmining industry in the United Kingdom will still remember that 150 miners perished in a fire at the Cresswell Colliery where the industrial textile, a rubber coated conveyor belting, ignited due to friction and spread the fire throughout the coalmine with most tragic consequences.

A vestibule cloth on British Railways, a flexible plastic coated fabric, fixed between two coaches, is yet another example of an industrial textile which in the past caused several fatal fires. Both examples, the conveyor belting and the vestibule cloth, forced legislators, after tragic events, to introduce non-flammable standards covering these fabrics. For example, the fire resistance of conveyor belting for use in coal mines must now meet the

requirements of N.C.B. Specification No. 158/1960 and B.S. 3289-1960.

1.3 Clothing

There are about 1000 deaths each year (250 clothing fires) due to burns in the United Kingdom. Figures of deaths do not, however, indicate the true size of the problem. The total number of burning accidents, fatal and non-fatal, is unfortunately not recorded, but it has been reliably estimated that at any one time there are some 550 people in hospitals in this country under treatment for burns, two-thirds of them for clothing burns, and that there are in the community today, about 136,000 people who at some time or other have been severely burned by their clothing catching fire, and who need hospital treatment, many of them suffering from permanent after-effects. It has recently been reported in the U.S.A. that 3,000 to 5,000 Americans die and 150,000 to 250,000 are injured each year from burns caused by flammable fabrics6, and that the cost of medical care for the hospitalized burn victims has been estimated to total about \$170 million per year.

In the Appendix to this chapter, data collected over a two-year period has been given, relating to single fatality fires, and an analysis of 670 deaths is discussed in detail.

The proportion of burning accidents in which clothing is involved has also been recorded by the Birmingham Accident Hospital; out of 701 cases of domestic burns admitted to the hospital, 352 of these were caused by clothing fire.

Recently McDonald, Dardis and Smith have published a comprehensive review of accidental burn injuries.8

With regard to clothing, it was reported in data collected by the U.S. National Burn Information Exchange that two out of every three burns involved fabric ignition. An Oklahoma survey of causes of burns from 1954 through to 1958 found that 28 out of 29 fatal burn cases involved clothing ignition. In contrast only 39% of the known causes of deaths from burns and explosions in the home in Kansas from 1958 through to 1962 were attributed to clothing ignition. In a recent study of burn injuries, McDonald found that textile products were most frequently the primary agent ignited (33%) with flammable liquids second (25%). Household textile items accounted for 71% of the textile products ignited, and apparel items the remaining 29%. The source of ignition in most cases was smoking or matches.

In Birmingham, England, Colebrook and others reported an increasing incidence of clothing burns from 1945 to mid-1955 (36% to 50%), and they were to most severe cases. ^{13, 14, 15} In these studies, the mortality rate for clothing burns alone, was almost double the rate for all burns (23% as compared to 12%). Bull et al¹⁶ continued the review of burn cases in Birmingham and reported that clothing continued to be a significant factor in flame burns. Some 743 of 1,322 burns (56%) that occurred in the home from mid-1955 through to 1962 were associated with flammable apparel. Women and the elderly were most susceptible to clothing burns.

Williams-Leir¹⁷ examined fatal clothing and bedding fires separately. Contrary to what Colebrook and Bull found, Williams-Leir indicated that males were most often involved in fatal clothing fires (57%). Flammable liquids were associated with 16% of the clothing fatalities.

In the case studies of burned children, clothing was also found to play a significant role. Wilkinson found that clothing was ignited in 30 out of 68 (44%) non-scald burns, and caused six fatalities. The mortality rate of 20% for clothing burns, was twice the mortality rate for all burns. 18

Bleck¹⁹ examined the cause of 344 cases of burns to children, and found four main causes. Nearly half (46%) of the burns were caused by clothing ignition, and girls were more often injured that boys (61%). Direct contact with flame, hot ashes, and stoves (26%), flammable liquids (15%) and hot liquids (8%) were the other three major causes, and boys were most often injured.

Forty-one per cent of 142 flame burn and scald accidents to Tennessee children were caused by clothing ignition.²⁰ Lesser incidences were reported in other studies of burns to children by Chambers²¹ (24% of non-scald burns), Munro et al²² (14% of non-scald burns). Flammable liquids caused most of the non-scald burns in the study (20%). Innes reported that of 215 burns to children, 23% involved flammable liquids and clothing together.²⁸

In the study of textile fires by the Consumer Protection and Environmental Health Service only 16 of the 329 cases involved non-garment ignition. The garments most frequently mentioned were shirts, blouses, night clothes and trousers. Fibre content was given for only 187 of the total 486 garments involved. Cotton was the leading fibre (75%) followed by nylon (8%) and other man-made fibres (8%). Twenty-one of the 30 fatalities were male, which is in agreement with the results of Williams-Leir. Six of the fatal accidents involved flammable liquids on clothing (20%).

The severity of injury is often expressed by case mortality figures, or by mean length of hospital stay. As indicated in the previous section, the case mortality rate was highest for injuries involving apparel ignition. Those accidents also required longer hospitalization than all burn cases combined.^{13,24,45} A severity rating developed by the Tennessee Public Health Department and used to evaluate burn accident cases found that clothing fires were the most severe.²⁰

Surveys conducted in the U.K. by the Burns Unit of the Medical Research Council show that the average period of hospital intreatment for a clothing burn is 48 days, against 26 days for other burns.

The various effects of thermal injury by heat transfer through the fabric was recently discussed by Stoll²⁵ and others.^{26, 27} Snyder²⁸ reviewing thermal injuries has also confirmed that burns are responsible for more hospital days than any other injury.

Table 1. Analysis of Clothing Fires ²⁹ (300 case histories—1968)	
Burn Cases Involving Males—Adults	Per cent
Shirts	45
Trousers	26
Miscellaneous	29
Burn Cases Involving Females—Adults	2,
Nightwear	41
Sweaters	17
Blouses	15
Bathrobes	. 11
Miscellaneous	16
Burn Cases Involving Children	10
	40
Nightclothes	40
Shirts and Blouses	35
Miscellaneous	25

Table 1 indicates that nightwear constitutes a considerable fire hazard²⁵ and this applies particularly to children's sleepwear.²⁶

The review of clothing fires explains why new legislation is often proposed to strengthen the flammability standards for specific end use fabrics, and the proposed U.S. Flammability Standard relating to children's sleepwear is one example of this new trend.³⁰ This specification defines sleepwear as any product of wearing apparel up to and including size 6 (Commercial Standard CS 151–50) and this includes nightgowns, pyjamas or similar or related items such as sleeping robes

The proposed Standard was the result of laboratory information classified under 3 headings:

- 1. tests on remnants recovered from accidents,
- 2. tests on purchased children's sleepwear garments, and

INDEX

acrylic fibres 173	cotton-Movil 310
afterglow 155, 363	cotton-polyester 89
reignition 155	cotton-rayon, flameproofed 359
aircraft industry fires 10	Courtelle fibre 224
aircraft furnishings 11-13	in composite fabric/blend:
flight clothing 11	Courtelle-acrylic 226
smoke density chamber 11	Courtelle-Darelle 343
aromatic polyamide see Nomex fibre	Courtelle-Darelle-wool 345
asbestos	Courtelle-Kermel 246, 388
cloth heat output rating 362	Courtelle-Kynol 226, flameproofed
use in railway carriage base 10	336
and many through the	Courtelle-Kynol-wool 361
blending fibres 173-178	Courtelle-Movil 307
buildings	Courtelle-Movil-wool 309
fire regulations 15	Courtelle-Nomex 244
fires 14	Courtelle-Nomex-wool 244
flame spread in 34, 429	Courtelle-PBI 289, 381
burns	Courtelle-Teflon 297
clothing 2, 3	Courtelle-wool 190
heat transfer through fabric 47-51	critical oxygen level
melting droplets 46	as base value in flammability deter-
thermal injury 4, 41, 46, 47-51	mination 113-116
	ease of ignition rating 360
carbon monoxide 43, 144	fabric moisture effect on 172
toxicity to rat 409-411	cyanide see hydrogen cyanide
carpet	cyamae see njorogen ej amaa
chamber test 82	Darelle fibre 56, 177, 339, 383
cinder flammability test 84	in composite fabric/blend:
fires 5, 6	Darelle-cotton 347
flammability test 79	Darelle-Courtelle 343
methanamine tablet test 79	Darelle-Courtelle-wool 345
Carroll Flammability Assembly 116-161	Darelle-Fibro 346
'Chlorofibre' 299, 382	Darelle-polypropylene 353
clothing burns 2, 3, 417	Darelle-Terylene 351
clothing fires 2, 398, 399	Darelle-viscose-nylon 348
coated textile fabric	Darelle-wool 186, 341
flammability test 424	differential thermal analysis 179-194
use in railway rolling stock 10	and mass spectrometry 193
combustible fibres 384	DTA see differential thermal analysis
combustion 19-22	DTA/MS see differential thermal
effects on human 41	analysis
polymer 28	Durette fibre 56, 175, 272-283, 361, 366,
cotton fibre 3, 35, 363, 384	381
fabric 89, 172, 231	fabric 277
in composite fabric/blend:	in composite fabric/blend:
cotton-Darelle 347	Durette-cotton 280, 381
cotton-Durette 280, 381	Durette-nylon 281
cotton-Dynel 326, 367	Durette-polyester 381
cotton-Kynol 231, flameproofed 233,	Durette-Terylene 283
336	Durette-wool 278, 381
	447

Dynel fibre 56, 175, 315-333, 382,	in standard blend 379-382
manufacture 315	inherently 174, 378
fabric 319	flame retardant 30
in composite fabric/blend:	antimony 33
Dynel-cotton 326, 367	APO-THPC 335
Dynel-Courtelle 322, flameproofed	boron 34
336	bromine 33
Dynel-Darelle 331	chlorine 33
Dynel-Kynol 332	Flammex T23P 355, 357
Dynel-nylon 328, flameproofed 336	in fibre spinning solution 334
Dynel-polypropylene 333	oxygen level effect on 169
Dynel-Terylene 330, flameproofed 336	phosphorus 32
Dynel-wool 186, 321	THPC-urea 335
Dynel-wool-Courtelle 324	THPOH-ammonia 335
Dynel modacrylic fibre see Dynel fibre	TMPC-MM-urea 335
- yy	flame retardant theory
ease of ignition rating 360	chemical 31
critical oxygen level 360	coating 30
ease of ignition test 427	free radical 32
Elvan fibre, flameproofed, see Darelle	gas 31
fibre	simultaneous reactions 32
extinguishing agents for special risks 431	thermal 31
ontinguishing agents for special risks for	flame retarding fibres 186
fibre spinning solution	experimental blend of 175
with flame retardant 334	flammability classification class-3 366
Fibro fibre see rayon fibre	inherently 174
fire detection, laser beam 429	flame spread 26-30
fires	buildings 34, 429
aircraft furnishings 11-13	continuous fuel beds 38
aircraft industry 10	continuous surfaces 38
automobiles 8, 9	
buildings 5	discontinuous fuels 38
carpet 5, 6	fabric weight effect on 170 horizontal/vertical, oxygen demand
clothing 2	172
dry cleaning 8	surface test 72
electric blankets 8	wheel-feed test 74
flight clothing 11	
floor coverings 5	flame spread rating 361
industrial textiles 1	flammability classification 56, 365 flammability determinations, limitations
industrial textiles i	accuracy 367
mattresses 7, 427	correlations with other tests 370
motor vehicle safety standard 9	
public building regulations 15	interlaboratory reproducibility 369 flammability parameters, no rating 363
public buildings 14	afterglow 363
railway rolling stock 9, 10	O
ships 13	melt dripping 364
	smoke build-up speed 364
upholstery 7	flammability ratings 360-364
flameproofing 334 see also flame	ease of ignition 360
retardant	flame spread 361
fibre spinning solution	heat output 361
flame propagation see flame spread	smoke emission 362
flame resistant fibres	flammability test
experimental blend of 175	angle strip 62

carpet chamber 82	Kermel-Fibro 263
carpet cinder 84	Kermel-nylon 265
coated fabric 424	Kermel-polypropylene 271
fire propagation 72	Kermel-Terylene 163, 165, 269
German 68	Kermel-wool 182, 259, 260
horizontal 62	Kynol fibre 56, 175, 196, 201-237, 363,
ignition 70	366, 380, flameproofed 336,
inclined sheet 68	manufacture 202
mattress cigarette test 68	fabric 127, 165, flameproofed 169,
methanamine tablet 79	213, 388
other 70, 99-104	in composite fabric/blend:
semi circular 66	Kynol-acrylic, carbonised 366
surface spread of flame 72	Kynol-cotton 231, flameproofed 233,
textiles under hyperbaric conditions 95	336
torso-form ignition 87	Kynol-Courtelle 226, 227, flame-
vertical strip 58	proofed 336
flammability test limitations	Kynol-Darelle 233, 388
carpet 85	Kynol-Dynel 383
interlaboratory reproducibility 110	Kynol-Fibro 228, flameproofed 169,
standard test reproducibility 110	229
flammability tester, Carroll Flamm-	Kynol-nylon 235, 361
ability Assembly	Kynol-polyester 235
afterglow determination 155	Kynol-polypropylene 126, 127, 190,
calibrating oxygen analyser 123	236, 365
ignition ease 125	Kynol-Terylene 235
melt dripping test 156	Kynol-wool 155, 182, 194, 199, 214,
modified cinder test 158	215, 218, flameproofed 169, 224
module FTA design 116-127	Kynol-wool-Courtelle 227, 361
heat output rating 361 heat transfer test for textile fabrics 420-	laser beam fire detection 429
422	material flammability rating MFR see
hydrogen cyanide 45	flammability ratings
toxicity to rat 409, 411	mattress
	fires 7, 427
	flammability cigarette test 427
ignition	melt dripping 364
phenomena 22, 23	test 156
radiant heating 24-26	modacrylic fibres 175, 382 see also Dynel
self ignition temperature 24	fibre
temperature 23, 24	modular system, Carroll Flammability
torso-form test 87	Assembly 116-161
industry fires	module FTA see flammability tester,
high risk group 392	Carroll Flammability Assembly
medium-high risk group 393	module FTB see smoke emission ana-
medium-low risk group 394	lyser, Carroll Flammability
medium risk group 393	Assembly
low risk group 395	see toxic fume analyser, Carroll
Vormal C1 - 54 455 050 074 545 15	Flammability Assembly
Kermel fibre 56, 175, 252-271, 366, 381,	module FTC, Carroll Flammability
manufacture 253	Assembly 152
in composite fabric/blend:	Movil fibre 56, 175, 298-314
Kermel-cotton 261, 262	fabric 305, 383
Kermel-Courtelle 264, 388	in composite fabric/blend:
Kermel-Dynel 267	Movil-cotton 310
	469

	Movil-Courtelle 307	polybenzimidazole fibre see PBI fibre
	Movil-nylon 312	polyester fibre 35, 173, pyrolysis 403
	Movil polypropylene 314	fabric 170
	Movil-Terylene 313	in composite fabric/blend:
	Movil-wool 306	polyester-cotton 89, 172, 173, flame-
	Movil-wool-Courtelle 309	proofing problem 174
	Nomes 6hrs 175, 220, 251, 262, 266	polyester-Durette 381
,	Nomex fibre 175, 239-251, 363, 366,	polyester-Kynol 235
	380	polyhlal fibres 175
	fabric 127, 241	polymer combustion 28
	in composite fabric/blend:	polypropylene fibre 47, 173, 177, 362, 386
	Nomex-cotton 246	fabric 5, 127, 156
	Nomex-Courtelle 244	in composite fabric/blend:
	Nomex-Courtelle-wool 245	polypropylene-Darelle 353
	Nomex-Darelle 247	polypropylene-Dynel 333
	Nomex-Dynel, carbonised 366	polypropylene-Kermel 271
	Nomex-Kynol 249	polypropylene-Kynol 126, 190, 236, 365
	Nomex-polypropylene 126, 127, 165,	polypropylene-Movil 314
•	166, 193	polypropylene-Nomex 126, 166, 193
	Nomex-Terylene 248	polypropylene-PBI 190
	Nomex-wool 128, 243, 388	polytetrafluoroethylene fibre see Teflon
	Nomex-wool-Teklan 251	fibre
	nylon fibre 3, 35, 47, 173, 174	polyvinyl chloride fibre see PVC, Movil
	fabric 6, 11, 156, 170, 172	fibre, Rhovyl fibre
	in composite fabric/blend:	
	nylon-Durette 281	rayon fibre 384, flameproofed 177, 337,
	nylon-Dynel 328, flameproofed 336	355, flameproofed Avisco rayon 338
	nylon-Kermel 265	fabric 170
	nylon-Kynol 235, 361	in composite fabric/blend:
	nylon-Movil 312	rayon-cotton, flameproofed 359
	nylon-rayon 158	rayon-Darelle 346
	nyion rayon 1,00	rayon-nylon 158
	oxygen depletion	rayon-wool 358
	effects on human 41	Rhovyl fibre 56, 175
		Knovyi note 50, 175
	oxygen indices	smoke
	polymers 170	
	oxygen level	hazard to human 41
	eritical 113-116, 172	movement in buildings 39
	effects of varying 162	smoke detector
	effects on flame retardants 169	ionization detectors 129
	effects on flame spread rate 165	light scatter photometric system 129
	effects on smoke/carbon monoxide emission 165	obscuration photometric system 130, 131
	PBI fibre 56, 175, 284-290, 366, manu-	smoke emission analyser, Carroll
	facture 285	Flammability Assembly
	fabric 381	emission at ambient light 136
	in composite fabric/blend:	module FTB design 131-142
	PBI-Courtelle 289, 381	photometric system 132
	PBI-polypropylene 190	smoke chamber 132
	PBI-wool 182, 290	smoke emission analyser at radiant heat,
	phenolic fibre see Kynol fibre	Carroll Flammability Assembly
	photometric smoke detection 129	module FTC design 152
	polyamide fibre see Kermel fibre	smoke emission rating 362
	r - 5	smoke emission tests 89
	1 TO 1	

French 427 National Bureau of Standard smoke Rohm & Haas Co. smoke chamber 91, Swedish flammability & smoke test 95 spinning solution with flame retardant 334 sprinkler system, water 431 standard blends 367 flammability 379 Teflon fibre 56, 115, 175, 291-297, 361, 362, 366, manufacture 291, toxic fumes 293 in composite fabric/blend: Teflon-Courtelle 297, 388 Teflon-wool 295 Teklan fibre 175 Terylene fibre fabric 172 in composite fabric/blend: Terylene-Darelle 351 Terylene-Durette 283 Terylene-Dynel 330, 336 Terylene-Kermel 163, 165, 269 Terylene-Movil 313 Terylene-Nomex 248

TG see thermogravimetric analysis

toxic fume analyser, Carroll Flamm-

analysis

THPC-urea 335

optics 146

thermal injury see burns

ability Assembly infrared gas analyser 144-146

thermal analysis see differential thermal

toxic fumes ammonia 45 carbon dioxide 44 carbon monoxide 43, 144, 410, 411 effects on human 42 epoxy resin pyrolysis 404 human tolerance levels 46, 408 hydrogen cyanide 45, 409 hydrogen sulphide 44 nitrogen compounds 45 phenolic resin pyrolysis 404, 405 plastic thermal decomposition 405 polyester pyrolysis 403, 406 PVC thermal decomposition 407, 411 silicone resin pyrolysis 406 sulphur dioxide 44 wool thermal decomposition 408 toxic gases see toxic fumes

viscose rayon fibre see rayon fibre

water sprinkler system 431 wool fibre 180, 194, 196, 384, thermal decomposition 408 fabric 13, 172, 215 in composite fabric/blend: wool-Courtelle 190 wool-Darelle 186, 341 wool-Dynel 186, 321 wool-Dynel-Courtelle 324 wool-Kermel 182, 259 wool-Kynol 181, 182, 199, 214, 388 wool-Movil 306 wool-Movil-Courtelle 309 wool-Nomex 182, 243, 388 wool-PBI 182 wool-polypropylene 190 wool-rayon, flameproofed 358